UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	)
v.	)
	) Case No: 1:00CR00057-003
DERRICO DEMONT JORDAN	) USM No: 16258-058
	) Janna Dale Allison
Date of Previous Judgment: 6/6/2001	)
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ■ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

■ DENIED.   ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____ .

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 34		Amended Offense Level: 34	
Criminal History Category: VI		Criminal History Category: VI	
Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months		Amended Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months	

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

■ Other (explain):   No reduction. There is no change in the guideline calculations due to defendant's status as a Career Offender.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/6/2001 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: May 12, 2009

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Lacy H. Thornburg
United States District Judge